

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES

v.

CRIM. NO.

**ORDER REGARDING USE OF VIDEO CONFERENCING/TELECONFERENCING
FOR FELONY PLEAS AND/OR SENTENCINGS**

In accordance with Standing Order 2020-06, this Court finds:

_____ That the Defendant (or the Juvenile) has consented to the use of video
teleconferencing/teleconferencing to conduct the proceeding(s) held today, after consultation
with counsel; and

_____ That the proceeding(s) to be held today cannot be further delayed without serious harm
to the interests of justice, for the following specific reasons:

Accordingly, the proceeding(s) held on this date may be conducted by:

_____ Video Teleconferencing

_____ Teleconferencing, because video teleconferencing is not reasonably available for the
following reason:

_____ The Defendant (or the Juvenile) is detained at a facility lacking video
teleconferencing capability.

_____ Other:

Date: January 25, 2022



United States District Judge

ATTACHMENT A

The Court finds that the sentencing hearing for Najee Brantley (“BRANTLEY”) to be held on January 25, 2022, cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice, for the following reasons:

1. To permit defendant BRANTLEY to obtain a speedy resolution of his case through an admission of guilt, and to make amends through an admission of responsibility. Defendant BRANTLEY has consented to this case being resolved by guilty plea by way of video conference so as to prevent any further delay.
2. To ensure that the Court is not overwhelmed by cases and proceedings at the conclusion of this period of emergency. Currently, District Judges in New Jersey handle a substantially larger docket of cases than Judges in other Districts in the United States. New criminal cases continue to be assigned by the Court during the emergency. If the Court cannot resolve matters now by guilty plea, the resulting backlog will overwhelm the Court’s ability to function effectively. The concern of such congestion and the particular harm that likely will be caused by delays in the processing of cases and matters in the future is particularly acute in this emergency, at least given that: (1) it is unknown when this emergency will subside and when the Court will be able to function at normal capacity (including, among other things, the empanelment of trial juries); and (2) this District’s pre- existing shortage of District Court Judges which already has challenged the Court’s ability to process and resolve cases.
3. To permit the Government to obtain a resolution of the case so that the Government, already operating in a restricted capacity due to the emergency, may appropriately focus its resources on other, emerging criminal matters. The Government and BRANTLEY have asked for this case to be resolved with a sentencing hearing on January 25, 2022.